

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,411	11/10/2003	Patrick Halle	CLWZ 2 00152	4397	
7	7590 09/26/2006			EXAMINER	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP			ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
7th Floor 1100 Superior	Avenue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH 44114-2516			1761		
•	•		DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/705,411	HALLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim Till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	<u>igust 2006</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 5.6,8,19,20 and 22-25 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5.6,8,19,20 and 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 25 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The Specification** 13. **The Specification** 14. **The Specification** 15. **The Specification** 16. **The Specification** 16. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 18. **The Specification** 19. **The Specification** 19. **The Specification** 10. **The Specification** 11. **The Specification** 11. **The Specification** 12. **The Specification** 13. **The Specification** 14. **The Specification** 15. **The Specification** 16. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 18. **The Specification** 19. **The Specification** 19. **The Specification** 19. **The Specification** 10. **The Specification** 11. **The Specification** 11. **The Specification** 11. **The Specification** 12. **The Specification** 13. **The Specification** 14. **The Specification** 15. **The Specification** 16. **The Specification** 16. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 17. **The Specification** 18. **The Specification** 19. **The S	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite				
Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 19, 20 and 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reynolds.

There is disclosed in Reynolds a beverage brewing apparatus, comprising: a mixing station 42; a dispensing station (located below the mixing station); a particulate delivery component 198 for delivery of particulate substance from a removable particulate storage component to the mixing station, wherein the storage component includes a hopper (receptacle) 200 and valved wall element (lower end of hopper) to define an enclosed space for containing a particulate substance, and wherein the valved wall element includes an outflow aperture 206, a plug element 212 and a bias element 202; an aqueous medium delivery means 32, 40 for delivering hot water to the mixing station; a particulate delivery component, wherein the particulate delivery component includes a conveyor element 232; an interconnect element 210 for releasably interconnecting the conveyor element and the outflow aperture; a valve plug interaction element 220, 228 for releasably maintaining the plug element of the valve member in an open position; and a support component (col 4, lines 71-75) for releasably engaging the removable storage component.

In regards to the particular type of particulate material, such is not definitive of any structural arrangement and is therefor not given any patentable weight.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 25 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 21 August 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art fails to disclose an interconnect element that may releasably interconnect the conveyor element and the outflow aperture.

In response to applicant's argument it should be noted that the phrase "releasably interconnect", without proper structure, fails to limit the claims in a structural manner. It can be argued that the elements disclosed in Reynolds can so how be released from connection with each other. Because the specification is silent to whether the elements may be releasably engaged does not prohibit their release from each other. Without further structural limitations in the claims the broad recitation of "releasably interconnect" is met by the prior art reference to Reynolds.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/705,411

Art Unit: 1761

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla 18 September 2006 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761